



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,179	02/16/2001	Timothy P. Meier	283-304 5313	
7590 09/26/2006		EXAMINER LE, BRIAN Q		
George S. Blasiak WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI Suite 400 101 South Salina Street Syracuse, NY 13202				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/788,179	MEIER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Q. Le	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20,28,35-39,45 and 5) Claim(s) 48-57 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-27, 29-34, 40-44, 47, 58-74 is/are is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	<u>f 46</u> is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2006 is/are: a) ☑ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See too is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 09/788,179 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Response to Amendment and Arguments

1. Applicant's amendment filed July 12, 2006, has been entered and made of record.

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks bottom of page 17, filed 07/12/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) s 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration,

a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860.

3. Regarding claims 30, 40, 58 and 68, the Examiner is now fully explained the art rejection as disclosed below as requested by the Applicant (Remarks pages 17-20).

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on 07/12/2006. The drawing, FIG. 2 is accepted.

Specification

5. The amendment filed 7/12/2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The Applicant seems to add the relationship of how the control circuit communicates with the first card, second card, and mag stripe reader in which this relationship was not disclosed in the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Election/Restrictions

6. Newly submitted claims 75-80 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/788,179 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding independent claim 75, this claims generate a new invention from existing claims because it is direction to a method which allows card reader to detect card degradation status of a card and further process base on the degradation status of the card.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 75-80 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 21-27, 29, 30-34, 40-44, 47, and 68-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding independent claims 21, 30, 40, 68, the original disclosure does not show the support of the **relationship** of how the first type, the second type of card identification and the control circuit that is **designed to work together as claimed** (emphasis added). Claims not specifically addressed are rejected because they are depending to the rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,179 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 30-34 and 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195.

For claim 30, Ramachandran teaches system for validating information stored or displayed on a identification associated with a cardholder (abstract), comprising:

- (a) an integrated card reader apparatus (abstract) for detecting (identify card) (FIG. 34, element 128), decoding (reading magnetic stripe indicia) (column 8, lines 25-35) and validating cardholder information on the identification (authenticity of a transaction) (column 8, lines 44-46), including a housing (FIG. 2) having one or more data input units (FIG. 4, element 42) capable of detecting and reading different types of information pertaining to a cardholder of a valid identification card formatted as encoded data stored or displayed on one or more identification cards (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50), and further including a display for giving user prompts and for displaying transaction information (FIG. 53-55);
- (b) a processor system (column 7, line 29) including an I/O interface (connection between different operating units) (FIG. 4), a memory (column 7, lines 25-26), and a control circuit

(controller) (column 8, lines 8-22 and lines 65-67) for receiving and decoding signals from said data input unit (column 12, lines 23-25) in accordance with predetermined control operation parameters correlated (correlated information correspond to various cards) (column 4, lines 55-60) with one or more types of identification cards (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50);

- (c) an external database accessible to said processor system (memory accessed by processor is consider external since memory is not the same unit of processor) (column 7, lines 25-26) in order for said control circuit to compare cardholder information encoded on said one or more types of identification cards with correlated cardholder information stored in said external database (the identification process wherein preventing unauthorized user) (column 4, lines 60-67); and
- (d) wherein said integrated card reader apparatus (column 9, lines 36-37) includes an optical reader for reading a bar code symbol formatted on a first identification card (column 7, lines 25-26), and a credit card reader for reading digital data formatted on a second identification card (column 3, lines 60-64).

Referring to claim 31, Ramachandran further teaches the system wherein said integrated card reader apparatus further comprises smart card reader (reader that reads magnetic card) (column 7, lines 44-46).

For claim 32, Ramachandran teaches the system which includes multiple card readers located at different locations having access to said external database (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50).

For claim 33, Ramachandran teaches the system wherein said multiple card readers have access to said external database via wireless (column 9, lines 10-24).

Regarding claim 34, Ramachandran further teaches the system wherein said cardholder information comprises related name filed data parsed from the encoded data on said one or more types of identification cards for comparison with correlated cardholder information stored in said external database (card identifier for the verification purpose) (FIGs. 17-18).

For claim 40, Ramachandran teaches a system for processing information encoded on an identification card (abstract), said system comprising:

- (a) a local transaction terminal (FIG. 3-4) having data input (FIG. 4, element 42) apparatus capable of reading information from a cardholder identification card (card reader) (column 4, lines 47-50), said transaction terminal including a display monitor (FIG. 4, element 40) for displaying selected transactional information (FIG. 53-55), a first card reader for reading data of a first identification card, and a second card reader for reading data of a second identification card (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50);
- (b) an external database connected with said display monitor (memory accessed by processor by using display monitor is consider external since memory is not the same unit of processor or display) (column 7, lines 25-26) and said first card reader through an I/O interface (whether magnetic card reader or bar code reader) (column 4, lines 47-50), said external database having non-volatile memory (column 7, lines 25-27) for storing identifying information correlated with individual cardholders (column 7, lines 25-29); and

(c) a processor system (column 7, line 29) having a control circuit (controller) (column 8, lines 8-22 and lines 65-67) for processing data signals (column 12, lines 23-25) from said first card reader and from said second card reader (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50) in order to verify the validity of said first identification card and to make a comparison match with identifying information stored in said external database (the identification process wherein preventing unauthorized user) (column 4, lines 60-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 21, 23-29, and 41-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195 and further in view of Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860.

Regarding claim 21, Ramachandran teaches a card reader apparatus for reading and validating information encoded on an identification card (abstract), said apparatus comprising:

A local housing terminal (terminal) (abstract) having a display (FIG. 4, element 40), and also have a data input unit (FIG. 4, element 42) including an optical reader (magnetic reader) (column 4, lines 47-50) for reading a first type of identification card having cardholder identity

information encoded in at least one bar code symbol, said data input unit further including a credit card reader (column 3, lines 60-64) for reading an identification card having cardholder identity information encoded on a magnetic stripe or a smart card (Magnetic reader to read magnetic card and bar code scanner to read bar code card) (column 4, lines 47-50);

A processor system for receiving signals from said data input unit (FIG. 4, element 36), said processor system comprising:

An I/O interface (connection between different operating units) (FIG. 4);

A memory (FIG. 4, element 48); and

A control circuit (controller) (column 8, lines 8-22 and lines 65-67) connected to said I/O interface and said memory for decoding said signals received from said data input unit in accordance with predetermined control operation parameters in order to recognize said first or second identification cards (column 17, line 45 to column 18, line 25), and for comparing said identity information with correlated cardholder information (identification process (FIGs. 16, 17, 26, 33 and 34) stored in an external non-volatile database (programmable memory) (column 3, lines 60-64). Ramachandran further teaches storage medium for card type accessing (column 3, lines 60-65). Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the card reader apparatus further comprising a lookup table for storing said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type. Hillson teaches a card accessing apparatus (FIG. 13) wherein the card reader apparatus comprising a lookup table for storing (column 17, lines 62-64) said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type (contains predetermined data in order to test the validity of data read from the card) (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24). Modifying Ramachandran's method of reading card according to Hillson would be able to provide a lookup

table to store different card data of card type for card validation (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Hillson.

For claim 23, Ramachandran further teaches the card reader apparatus wherein said local housing terminal is at least partially portable (abstract).

Regarding claim 24, Ramachandran teaches the card reader wherein said local housing terminal includes a non-portable stand (FIG. 3).

Referring to claim 25, Ramachandran teaches the card reader wherein said display includes prompt messages for a user (prompt messages) (abstract).

For claim 26, please refer back to claim 25 for the teaching.

For claim 27, Ramachandran teaches the card reader wherein said card type is determined automatically when an identification card is present in a field of view of said optical reader or said credit card reader (the execution of the identification software once the enter button is pushed) (column 6, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 28, Ramachandran further teaches the card reader which further comprises a lookup table for storing said predetermined control operation parameters based on the card type detected by the data input unit (memory that assigned uniquely for user's data for retrieval and identification) (column 4, lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 29, Ramachandran also discloses type of signal decoding algorithm (produce signal for comparison between input data and stored data) (column 12, lines 24-26).

For claims 41-44, please refer back to claims 21 and 25 for the teachings and explanations.

Page 10

13. Claims 58, 65-66, and 68-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195 and Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860 as applied to claims 30 and 40 above, and further in view of Swonger et al. U.S. Patent 4,210,899.

Regarding claim 58, Ramachandran teaches a card reader capable of processing a card carrying identification information and having at least one decodable dataform, said card reader (abstract) comprising:

- (a) a housing (FIG. 2);
- (b) a display disposed on said housing (column 10, lines 50-52);
- (c) a memory (column 7, lines 25-26);
- (d) an imaging assembly that extends outwardly form said housing (scanner and multifunction card that configured to be able to read conventional credit and debit cards) (column 4, lines 47-50 and column 6, lines 7-10);
- (e) A control circuit (controller) (column 8, lines 8-22 and lines 65-67) in communication with said memory (column 17, line 45 to column 18, line 25)
- (f) wherein said control circuit is configured to (i) capture image data corresponding to said card utilizing said imaging assembly (scanning or reading barcode) (column 4, lines 47-50); Ramachandran further teaches storage medium for card type accessing (column 3, lines 60-65). Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the card reader apparatus further comprising a lookup table for storing said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type and process captured image data to determine a card type. Hillson teaches a card accessing apparatus (FIG.

13) wherein the card reader apparatus comprising a lookup table for storing (column 17, lines 62-64) said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type (contains predetermined data in order to test the validity of data read from the card) (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24) and process captured image data to determine a card type (card reader as to capture the card for the identification of the card type) (column 17, lines 60-62). Modifying Ramachandran's method of reading card according to Hillson would be able to provide a lookup table to store different card data of card type for card validation (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Hillson.

Ramachandran also does not explicitly teach an imaging assembly including a two dimensional imager sensory for said imaging assembly. Swonger teaches card identification processing (column 1, lines 38-40) wherein the reading includes two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Swonger would be able to provide an implementation two dimensional image sensor in capturing image. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Swonger.

Claim 65, Ramachandran teaches the card reader wherein said control circuit in processing said card to determine card type processes a symbol represented in said captured image data (card identifier) (FIG. 19).

Regarding claim 66, Ramachandran also shows the card reader further comprises a credit card reader for reading information from credit cards (column 4, lines 47-55).

For claim 67, Ramachandran further shows the card reader wherein said housing is hand held (portable terminal) (column 6, line 51).

For claim 68, Regarding claim 58, Ramachandran teaches a card reader capable of processing a card carrying identification information and having at least one decodable dataform, said card reader (abstract) comprising:

- (a) a housing (FIG. 2);
- (b) a display disposed on said housing (column 10, lines 50-52);
- (c) a memory (column 7, lines 25-26);
- (d) an imaging assembly including a two dimensional image sensor, said imaging assembly having an imaging axis that extends outwardly form said housing (scanner and multifunction card that configured to be able to read conventional credit and debit cards) (column 4, lines 47-50 and column 6, lines 7-10);
- (e) A control circuit (controller) (column 8, lines 8-22 and lines 65-67) in communication with said memory (column 17, line 45 to column 18, line 25)
- (f) wherein said control circuit is configured to (i) capture image data corresponding to said card (scanning or reading barcode) (column 4, lines 47-50);

Ramachandran further teaches storage medium for card type accessing (column 3, lines 60-65).

Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the card reader apparatus further comprising a lookup table for storing said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type and process captured image data to determine a card type. Hillson teaches a card accessing apparatus (FIG.

- 13) wherein the card reader apparatus comprising a lookup table for storing (column 17, lines 62-
- 64) said predetermined control operation parameters based on card type (contains predetermined

data in order to test the validity of data read from the card) (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24) and process captured image data to determine a card type (card reader as to capture the card for the identification of the card type) (column 17, lines 60-62). Modifying Ramachandran's method of reading card according to Hillson would be able to provide a lookup table to store different card data of card type for card validation (column 17, line 60 to column 18, line 24). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Hillson.

Ramachandran also does not explicitly teach an imaging assembly including a two dimensional

imager sensory for said imaging assembly. Swonger teaches card identification processing (column 1, lines 38-40) wherein the reading includes two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Swonger would be able to provide an implementation two dimensional image sensor in capturing image. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Swonger.

For claim 69, please refer back to claim 67 for further teachings and explanations.

For claim 70, please refer back to claim 66 for further teachings and explanations.

For claim 71, please refer back to claim 65 for further teachings and explanations.

Regarding claim 72, Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the reading includes two dimensional image sensor, where said control circuit is in communication with said two dimensional image sensor, and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card. Swonger teaches card identification processing (column 1, lines 38-40) wherein the reading includes two dimensional

Application/Control Number: 09/788,179

Art Unit: 2624

image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), where said control circuit (processor) (FIG. 1, element 24) is in communication (FIG. 1, element 14a) with said two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card (column 13, lines 10-28). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Swonger would be able to provide an implementation two dimensional image sensor in capturing image. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Swonger.

Regarding claim 73, Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the reading includes two dimensional image sensor, where said control circuit is in communication with said two dimensional image sensor, and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card. Swonger teaches card identification processing (column 1, lines 38-40) wherein the reading includes two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), where said control circuit (processor) (FIG. 1, element 24) is in communication (FIG. 1, element 14a) with said two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card (column 13, lines 10-28). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Swonger would be able to provide an implementation two dimensional image sensor in capturing image. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Swonger.

For claim 74, please refer back to claim 73 for further teachings and explanations.

14. Claims 22 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195 and Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860 as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Terrell U.S. Patent 6,076,731.

Regarding claim 22, Ramachandran does not teach the credit card reader includes a touch screen signature component. Terrell further teaches a credit card reader process (FIG. 1A) includes a touch screen signature capture component (column 2, lines 1-6). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reading apparatus according to Terrell would able to enhance the verification process further by allowing the operator to verify the signature visually (column 6, lines 50-60). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Terrell.

For claim 47, please refer back to claim 22 for the teaching and explanation.

15. Claims 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195 and Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860 as applied to claim 58 above, and further in view of Hanna et al. U.S. Patent 6,714,665.

Regarding claim 59, Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the card reader wherein one or more operating parameters are selected from a group consisting of threshold value, focal length, gain, exposure and illumination level. Hanna further teaches a method of iris recognition that can be apply for ATM card verification system (column 7, lines 39-65) wherein the card system's one or more operating parameters are selected from a group consisting of threshold value, focal length, gain exposure and illumination level (column 7, lines 39-65). Modifying

Application/Control Number: 09/788,179

Art Unit: 2624

Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Hanna would able to utilize illumination for the iris verification (column 7, lies 39-65). This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Hanna.

For claim 60, Hanna further teaches the card reader wherein one or more operating parameters are at least two parameters selected from the group consisting of threshold value, focal length, gain, exposure and illumination level (illumination and distance threshold) (column 7, lies 39-65 and column 13, lines 55-60)

Regarding claim 61, Hanna also teaches the card reader wherein said at least one operating parameter includes a threshold value (distance threshold) (column 13, lines 55-60).

Referring to claim 62, Hanna discloses the card reader wherein said at least one operating parameter includes a focal length (column 43, lines 55-58).

As to claim 63, Hanna further discloses the card reader wherein said at least one operating parameter includes an exposure (brightness processing) (column 54, lines 20-28).

For claim 64, Hanna teaches the card reader wherein said at least one operating parameter includes an illumination level ((column 7, lines 39-65).

16. Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ramachandran U.S. Patent No. 6,315,195 and Hillson et al. U.S. Patent 6,118,860 as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Swonger et al. U.S. Patent 4,210,899.

Regarding claim 72, Ramachandran does not explicitly teach the reading includes two dimensional image sensor, where said control circuit is in communication with said two

dimensional image sensor, and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card. Swonger teaches card identification processing (column 1, lines 38-40) wherein the reading includes two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), where said control circuit (processor) (FIG. 1, element 24) is in communication (FIG. 1, element 14a) with said two dimensional image sensor (column 13, lines 20-28), and wherein said control circuit is configured to capture image data representing a two dimensional area of said identification card (column 13, lines 10-28). Modifying Ramachandran's method of card reader identification according to Swonger would be able to provide an implementation two dimensional image sensor in capturing image. This would improve processing and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Ramachandran according to Swonger.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 48-57 are allowed.

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7424. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M - 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL September 19, 2006